

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/764,469	01/27/2004	Joseph Sun	4425-345	1145
7590 03/08/2006			EXAMINER	
LOWE HAUPTMAN GILMAN & BERNER, LLP			HAFIZ, MURSALIN B	
Suite 310 1700 Diagonal Road		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Alexandria, V	A 22314		2814	· · · · · ·

DATE MAILED: 03/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A 12 43 44	A	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
<b>0</b>	10/764,469	SUN ET AL.	(M)
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mursalin B. Hafiz	2814	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence a	nddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [ - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMI .136(a). In no event, however, d will apply and will expire SIX te, cause the application to be	MUNICATION. may a reply be timely filed  (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10.	January 2006.		
·—	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow			he merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 193	35 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			•
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 21</u> is/are pending in the ap	oplication.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr		on.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 21</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requireme	ent.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	ccepted or b)  objec	ted to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
<ul><li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li><li>1. Certified copies of the priority docume</li></ul>	nts have been receive	ed.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docume			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr			al Stage
application from the International Bure			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li			
Attachment(s)	_		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>		erview Summary (PTO-413) per No(s)/Mail Date	
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	08) 5) 🔲 No	tice of Informal Patent Application (F her:	PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/764,469

Art Unit: 2814

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

1. Amendment filed on January 10, 2006 is acknowledged. Claims 1, 8 and 9 were amended and new claim 21 was added.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Glenn et al (US 6,624,921 B1) newly cited, in view of Tanaka et al (US 6,562,217 B1) previously applied.

Regarding claim 1, Glenn disclosed in Fig. 9 a package [900] of semiconductor device [114] with a flexible wiring substrate [902] [column 9 lines 53-56], comprising:

a semiconductor substrate [112] having semiconductor device [114] and at least one pad [116] on a surface thereof;

a plate [152] located above said surface of semiconductor substrate [112], semiconductor device [114] is covered by plate [152], and at least one pad [116] is uncovered by plate [152];

a bump [906] bonded to said pad [116];

Application/Control Number: 10/764,469

Art Unit: 2814

flexible wiring substrate [902] having at least one contact section being electrically connected with bump [906].

Glenn does not disclose an adhesive layer on said bump and said flexible wiring substrate having at least one contact section being electrically connected with said bump by said adhesive layer. However, Tanaka teaches an analogous connection in Fig. 50 and adhesive layer [conductive resin fine particle] is used on the bump [gold bump] to electrically connect the flexible wiring substrate [substrate] with the bump [gold bump]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate the connection as it is taught by Tanaka into the device of Glenn at least to improve the connection reliability [column 7-8].

Regarding claim 2 and 3, Tanaka disclosed in Fig. 50 that the bump is gold stud bump [gold bump].

Regarding claims 4 and 5, Tanaka disclosed that the adhesive layer includes non-conductive paste [resin, column 5 line 10].

Regarding claims 6 and 7, Tanaka disclosed that the adhesive layer includes anisotropic conductive paste [conductive resin fine particle, column 5 line 10].

3. Claims 8-12 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Glenn et al (US 6,624,921 B1) newly cited and Tanaka et al (US 6,562,217 B1) previously applied in view of Hamamoto et al (US 6,800,836 B2) previously applied.

Regarding claim 8, Glenn and Tanaka met all the claimed limitations semiconductor device but did not teach that semiconductor device comprises one or more image sensors. However, Hamamoto teaches an analogous device in Fig 4 and

Art Unit: 2814

7B, a semiconductor substrate [1] having image sensors [100] on the surface thereof having said pad [1031] and a transparent plate [2] covered on the surface of said semiconductor substrate having said image sensor 1100]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate Hamamoto's device into Glenn's device at least to use Glenn's device as an image pick up device [column 2 line 67].

Regarding claim 9, Glenn disclosed that the plate [152] is a transparent plate [column 3 lines 16-17].

Regarding claim 10, Tanaka disclosed in Fig. 50 that the bump is stud bump [gold bump].

Regarding claims 11, Tanaka disclosed that the adhesive layer includes anisotropic conductive paste [conductive resin fine particle, column 5 line 10].

Regarding claims 12, Tanaka disclosed that the adhesive layer that includes non-conductive paste [resin, column 5 line 10].

Regarding claim 21, Hamamoto disclosed in Fig. 2 that the plate [2] is held by an adhesive material [6] and located above said semiconductor substrate [1] [column 5 lines 35-37].

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-12 and 21 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/764,469 Page 5

Art Unit: 2814

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mursalin B. Hafiz whose telephone number is 571-272-8604. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy can be reached on 571-272-1705. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/764,469 Page 6

Art Unit: 2814

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mbh

HOAL/PHAM
PRIMARY EXAMINER